Evaluation of yield traits in some primitive wheat genotypes to ensure sustainability of wheat production

Maysoun Mohamad Saleh^{1*}, Fariza. Alsarhan Alsarhan²

¹General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research (GCSAR), Genetic Resources Department, Damascus, Syria ²General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research (GCSAR), Al-Raqqa Research Center, Al-Raqqa, Syria

*Corresponding Author, Email: mzainsamasaleh@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Received: January 26, 2020 Revised: April 22, 2020 Accepted: April 22, 2020 Published: April 24, 2020	Study was conducted at Al-Raqqa Research Centre in The General Commission for Scientific Agricultural Research in Syria during the growing seasons 2011/2012. Eight primitive wheat genotypes and two local cultivated varieties were planted in Randomized Complete Block Design in three replications, yield components (number of fertile tillers, number and weight of grains per spike and weight of thousand grain) were studied. Results showed that the genotype Persian 64 was significantly superior in three traits of yield components (number of fertile tillers, number and weight of thousand grain be traited to the genotype Persian 64 was significantly superior in three traits of yield components (number of fertile tillers, number and weight of grains per spike) comparing to the check sham5, and also the genotype Polish 194 was significantly superior in weight of thousand grain comparing to both checks sham3 and sham5 (53.12, 40.80, 40.02) g respectively with an increase rate 30.20% and 32.73% comparing to both checks sham3 and sham5 respectively. It is recommended to use the superior genotypes in breeding programs to ensure the sustainability of wheat production.
Keywords:	
Genotypes, Primitive Wheat, Yield Traits, Correlation	

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is a main cultivated crop in many countries in the world [1], used as food and fodder [2], and consider as the main crop in Mediterranean region [3]. In order to improve economy in general Breeders are still working to develop wheat yield [4] by using different genotypes of wheat [5] and exploit the genetic variation within it [6], and to improve selection [7] depending on yield traits [8].

Emmer wheat Triticum dicoccum is valuable for the high content of protein and glutin [9]. Each of Triticum dicoccum, Triticum polonicum, Triticum persicum are characterized as important primitive genotypes to develop wheat production.

Fertile tiller number and grain number per spike and thousand grain weight are very important traits to develop final production of wheat [10,11,12]. Correlation analysis is an effective tool in breeding programs [13] to increase yield [14] via yield traits [15]. Dogen [16] found that grain number per spike was positively correlated with grain weight per spike and thousand grain weight, and Mahmood et al. [17] also found positive correlation between grain number per spike and thousand grain weight.

Objectives of this study were to define variation between wheat genotypes regarding yield traits in order to develop seed production, and to be provide wheat breeders with the best significant genotypes, and to study the correlation between studied traits.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Eight primitive tetraploid wheat genotypes and two local cultivated varieties were planted in Randomized Complete Block Design in three replications at Al-Raqqa research center in the general commission for scientific agricultural research in Syria during the growing seasons 2011/2012, each plot contained six rows, row

length was one meter, with distance of 25 cm between rows and 5 cm between plants in the same row, and the depth of planting was 3-5 cm.). Yield components were studied from ten selected plants:

• Fertile tillers number per plant: Number of fertile tillers of each genotype were counted at maturity in each replication and average was computed.

• Grain number per spike: The main spike was threshed manually and numbers of grains per spike were counted for each genotype.

• Grain weight per spike: Grain per spike was weighed using electric balance for each genotype in each replication.

•Thousand grain weight: 500 grains were counted randomly from each genotype and weighed on electric balance then adjusted to 1000 grain weight.

Results were analyzed by Genstat.12 program according to Duncan's multiple range test, and correlation was analyzed by SPSS.12 program.

RESULTS

Fertile tillers number/Plant

Significant variations were found between studied genotypes in Fertile tillers number, which ranged from 13.33 in Polish 194 to 26.33 in Emmer124, with a grand mean of 22.12 fertile tiller. Results showed that (Emmer124, Emmer94, Polish193, Persian64, Persian49) were all significantly superior in fertile tiller number (26.33, 26.00, 23.67, 23.67, 23.33) respectively with an increase rate (42.23, 40.54, 27.95, 27.95, 26.11) % comparing to the check sham5 (18.50) (Table 1). These results agree with many researchers [18-21].



Table 1. Fertile Tillers in Genotypes.

Geno	Fertile Tillers	Difference Rate% comparing to checks	
		Sham3	Sham5
Emmer67	18.67 b	-26.29*	0.92
Emmer94	26.00 a	2.65	40.54*
Emmer124	26.33 a	3.95	42.32*
Polish193	23.67 a	-6.55	27.95*
Polish194	13.33 c	-47.37*	-27.95*
Persian49	23.33 a	-7.90	26.11*
Persian57	22.33 ab	-11.84	20.70
Persian64	23.67 a	-6.55	27.95*
Sham3	25.33 a		
Sham5	18.50 b		
Mean	22.12		
CV%	11.4		

* refers to significant difference.

Grain number/Spike

Significant variations were found between studied genotypes in Grain number/spike, it ranged from the lowest number 41.33 in Persian49 to the highest number 81.33 Persian64, with a grand mean of 53.28 grain. Persian 64 was significantly superior in grain number per spike (81.33) comparing to both checks sham3 and sham5 (64.00, 61.50) respectively with an increase rate (27.08, 32.24) % respectively (Table 2). These results agree with the findings of Saleh [22] and others [23-26].

Table 2. Grain number/spike in Genotypes.

Geno	Grain number /spike	Difference Rate% comparing to checks	
	73pike	Sham3	Sham5
Emmer67	45.00 def	-29.69*	-26.83*
Emmer94	47.00 d	-26.56*	-23.58*
Emmer124	43.33 def	-32.30*	-29.54*
Polish193	56.33 c	-11.98*	-8.41*
Polish194	46.00 def	-28.13*	-25.20*
Persian49	41.33 def	-35.42*	-32.80*
Persian57	47.00 d	-26.56*	-23.58*
Persian64	81.33 a	27.08*	32.24*
Sham3	64.00 b		
Sham5	61.50 b		
Mean	53.28		
CV%	5.6		

* refers to significant difference.

Grain Weight/Spike

Significant variations were found between studied genotypes in Grain Weight/Spike, grand mean was 1.873 g, the range was from 1.267 equally in both genotypes (Polish193, Persian49) to 2.800 in Polish 194. Results refereed that both of Polish194 and persian64 were significantly superior in grain weight per spike (2.800, 2.733) g respectively with an increase rate (21.74, 18.83) % respectively comparing to check sham5 (2.300) g (Table. 3). These results are in agreement with many researchers [27,28].

Thousand Grain Weight g

Significant variations were found between studied genotypes in thousand Grain Weight, which ranged from 23.76 g in Polish193 to 53.12g in Polish194, with a grand mean of 34.9 g. Results indicated that Polish194 was significantly superior in thousand grain weigh (53.12) g with an increase rate (30.20, 32.7) % comparing to both

Table 3. Grain weight /spike g in Genotypes

Geno	Grain weight /spike	Difference Rate% comparing to checks	
	,	Sham3	Sham5
Emmer67	1.300 c	-47.30*	-43.48*
Emmer94	1.433 c	-41.91*	-37.70*
Emmer124	1.500 c	-39.20*	-34.78*
Polish193	1.267 c	-48.64*	-44.91*
Polish194	2.800 a	13.50	21.74*
Persian49	1.267 c	-48.64*	-44.91*
Persian57	1.300 c	-47.30*	-43.48*
Persian64	2.733 a	10.78	18.83*
Sham3	2.467 ab		
Sham5	2.300 b		
Mean	1.837		
CV%	12.2		

* refers to significant difference.

Correlation

Results of correlation between studied traits showed a negative significant correlation between fertile tiller number and thousand grain weight (- 0.494**), while the correlation between number and weight of grains per spike was positively significant (0.620**) and also positive and significant between thousand grain weight and weight of grains per spike (0.659**) (Table. 5.) These findings agree with the findings of many scientists [9,16,22,32].

Table 4. Thousand Grain Weight g in Genotypes.

Geno	Thousand Grain Weight	Difference Rate% comparing to checks	
		Sham3	Sham5
Emmer67	33.12 bcd	-18.82	-17.24
Emmer94	26.66 cd	-34.66*	-33.38
Emmer124	33.65 bcd	-17.52	-15.92
Polish193	23.76 d	-41.76*	-40.63*
Polish194	53.12 a	30.20*	32.73*
Persian49	26.86cd	-34.17*	-32.88
Persian57	37.00 bcd	-9.31	-7.55
Persian64	33.65 bcd	-17.52	-15.92
Sham3	40.80 b		
Sham5	40.02 bc		
Mean	34.9		
CV%	19.9		

* refers to significant difference

Table.5. Correlation between Studied Traits.

	Fertile Tiller	Grain Number/ Spike	Grain Weight/ Spike	Thousand Grain Weight
Fertile Tiller	1			
Grain number/	0.146	1		
Spike				
Grain weight/	-0.284	0.620**	1	
Spike				
Thousand	-	0.075	0.659**	1
Grain Weight	0.494**			

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that significant valuable variances were found between wheat genotypes in all studied trait. Emmer 124, Emmer 94, Polish 193, Persian 64, Persian 49 were all significantly superior in fertile tiller number, and Persian64 was significantly superior in both grain number and grain weight per spike, and Polish194 was significantly superior in both grain weight per spike and thousand grain weight. Correlation was positive and significant between number and weight of grain per spike as well as between grain weight per spike and thousand grain weight, while correlation was negatively significant between thousand grain weight and fertile number per spike.

Conflict of Interest

It is highly recommended to use the superior genotypes in breeding programs to ensure the sustainability of wheat production and to keep evaluating wheat diversity.

REFERENCES

- Debasis P, Khurana P. Wheat biotechnology: A mini review. Electronic J Biotechnol. 2001;
- Hogg AC, Sripo T, Beecher B, Martin JM, Giroux MJ. Wheat puroindolines interact to form friabilin and control wheat grain hardness. Züchter Genet Breed Res. 2004;108(6):1089–97. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00122-003-1518-3
- Elias EM, Manthey FA. Durum wheat breeding. Current approaches and future strategies. Royo C, Nachit MN, Di Fonzo N, Araus JL, Pfeiffer WH, Slafer GA, editors. 2005.
- Ata A, Yousaf B, Khan AS, Subhani GM, Asadullah HM, Yousaf A. Correlation and path coefficient analysis for important plant attributes of spring wheat under normal and drought stress conditions. Agriculture and Healthcare. 2014;4(8).
- Franco J, Crossa J, Warburton ML, Taba S. Sampling strategies for conserving maize diversity when forming core subsets using genetic markers. Crop Sci. 2006;46(2):854–64. http://dx.doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2005.07-0201
- Rahman MM, Bashar MK. Molecular characterization and genetic variation in rice. lap lambert academic publishing GmbH and Co. Saarbrucken, Germany: KG; 2012.
- Rahman MM, Rasul MG, Bashar MK, Syed MA, Islam MR. Parent selection for transplanted Aman rice breeding by morphological, physiological and molecular diversity analysis. Libyan Agriculture Research Center Journal International. 2011;2(1):29–35.
- Tuncturk M, Ciftci V. relationships between yield and some yield components in rapeseed (*Brassica napus* ssp. oleifera L.) cultivars by using correlation and path analysis. Pak J Bot. 2007;39(1):81–4.
- 9. Bilgi SA. Physiological investigations in dicoccum wheat genotypes, master thesis. Dharwad; 2006.
- Farooq J, Khaliq I, Kashif M, Ali Q, Mahpara S. Genetic analysis of relative cell injury percentage and some yield contributing traits in wheat under nomral and heat stress conditions. Chil J Agric Res. 2011;71(4):511–20. http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/s0718-58392011000400003.
- Munir M, Chowdhry MA, Malik TA. Correlation studies among yield and its components in bread wheat under drought conditions. Int J AgriBiol. 2007;9(2):287–90.
- Ali Y, Atta BM, Akhter J, Monneveux P, Lateef Z. genetic variability, association and diversity studies in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) germplasm. Pak J Bot. 2008;40(5):2087–97.
- Afroz R, Sharif MSH, Rahman L. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis in mustard amd rape (*Brassica* spp.). Bangladesh J PI Breed Genet. 2004;17(1):59–63.

- Mahbub MM, Rahman MM, Hossain MS, Mohamad F, Mir Kabir MM. Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis for yield and yield components in soybean. American-Eurasian J Agric & Environ Sci. 2015;15(2):231–6.
- Tariq M, Ali Q, Khan A, Khan GA, Rashid B, Rahi MS, et al. yield potential study of *Capsicum annuum* L. under the application of PGPR. Adv life sci. 2014;1(4):202–7.
- Dogan R. the correlation and path coefficient analysis for yield and some yield components of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* var. durum I.) in West Anatolia Conditions. Pak J Bot. 2009;41(3):1081–9.
- Mahmood Q, Lei WD, Qureshi AS, Khan MR, Hayat Y, Jilani G, et al. Heterosis, correlation and path coefficient analysis of morphological and biochemical characters in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. Emp. Thell). Agri J. 2006;1(3):180–5.
- Garcia Del Moral LF, Rharrabti Y, Villegas D, Royo C. evaluation of Grain Yield and Its Components In Durum Wheat Under Mediterranean Conditions: An Ontogenic Approach. Agronomy Journal, V. 2003;95:266–74.
- Vollmann J, Grausgruber H, Ruckenbauer P. genetic variation for plant breeding, proceedings of the 17th EUCARPIA General Congress, 8-11 September, Tullen Austria, Eucarpia and BOKU. Vienna, Austria; 2004.
- 20. Taking the stress out of agriculture, Australian center for plant functional genomics PTYLTD. South Australia, Australia; 2005.
- Rabbani G. inheritance mechanisms of drought tolerance and yield attributes in wheat under irrigated and rainfed conditions. Rawalpindi, Pakistan; 2009.
- Saleh SH. performance, correlation and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and its related traits in diallel crosses of bread wheat under normal irrigation and drought conditions. World Journal of Agriculture Sciences. 2010;7(3):270–9.
- Kiliç H, Yağbasanlar T. The effect of drought stress on grain yield, yield components and some quality traits of durum wheat (*Triticum turgidum* ssp. durum) cultivars. Not Bot Hort Agrobot Cluj. 2010;38(1):164–70.
- Jahfari HA. Modeling the growth, radiation use efficiency and yield of new wheat cultivars under varying nitrogen rates. Agriculture Science Journal. 2004;54:432–43.
- Sameena S, Iqbal S, Sheikh S, Singh I. Combining ability analysis over environments in bread wheat in diallel cross data. Agricultural Science Diges, 2000;20(2):137-138.
- Saffer-UI-Hassan M, Munir M, Mujahid NS, Kisana A, Zahid NA. genetic analysis of some biometric characters in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L). J Biological Sci. 2004;4:480–5.
- Rt A, Abbasov MA, Mammadov AC. Genetic identification of diploid and tetraploid wheat species with RAPD markers. Turkish J Biol. 2007;31(3):173–80.
- Khan MQ, Anwar S, Khan MI. Genetic variability for seedling traits in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) under moisture stress conditions. Asian J Plant Sci. 2002;1(5):588–90. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ajps.2002.588.590
- 29. Bhutta WM. role of some agronomic traits for yield production in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under drought conditions. Revista UDO Agricola. 2006;6:11–9.
- Zanetti S, Winzeler M, Feuillet C, Keller B, Messmer M. Genetic analysis of bread-making quality in wheat and spelt. Plant Breed. 2001;120(1):13–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0523.2001.00552.x
- Anjum FM, Ahmad N, Butt MS, Ahmad I. phytate and mineral contents in different milling fractions of some Pakistani spring wheat. Int J Food Sci Tech. 2002;37:13–7.
- Thanna HA, El-Kareem A, El-Saidy AEA. evaluation of wheat and grain quality of some bread wheat genotypes under normal irrigation and drought stress conditions in calcareous soils. J Biol Sci. 2011;11(2):156–64.